Targeted Therapy for \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) Malignant Astrocytoma
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Malignant astrocytomas (MA) are aggressive central nervous system tumors with poor prognosis. Activating mutation of \( \text{BRAF} \) (\( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \)) has been reported in a subset of these tumors, especially in children. We have investigated the incidence of \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) in additional pediatric patient cohorts and examined the effects of \( \text{BRAF} \) blockade in preclinical models of \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) and wild-type \( \text{BRAF} \) MA.

**Experimental Design:** \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) mutation status was examined in two pediatric MA patient cohorts. For functional studies, \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) MA cell lines were used to investigate the effects of \( \text{BRAF} \) shRNA knockdown in vitro, and to investigate \( \text{BRAF} \) pharmacologic inhibition in vitro and in vivo.

**Results:** \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) mutations were identified in 11 and 10% of MAs from two distinct series of tumors (six of 58 cases total). \( \text{BRAF} \) was expressed in all MA cell lines examined, among which \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) was identified in four instances. Using the \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \)-specific inhibitor PLX4720, pharmacologic blockade of \( \text{BRAF} \) revealed preferential antiproliferative activity against \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) mutant cells in vitro, in contrast to the use of shRNA-mediated knockdown of \( \text{BRAF} \), which inhibited cell growth of glioma cell lines regardless of \( \text{BRAF} \) mutation status. Using orthotopic MA xenografts, we show that PLX4720 treatment decreases tumor growth and increases overall survival in mice-bearing \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) mutant xenografts, while being ineffective, and possibly tumor promoting, against xenografts with wild-type \( \text{BRAF} \).

**Conclusions:** Our results indicate a 10% incidence of activating \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) among pediatric MAs. With regard to implications for therapy, our results support evaluation of \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \)-specific inhibitors for treating \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) MA patients. Clin Cancer Res; 17(24); 7595–604. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

Malignant astrocytomas (MA) are aggressive brain tumors that affect people of all ages. Current treatments are inadequate, with median survival being 14 months in adults (1). A recently published study involving the use of radiation and temozolomide in treating 90 pediatric patients with MA revealed only 22% survival at 3 years (2). Although the genomics of adult grade IV MA (i.e., glioblastoma: GBM) have been researched extensively, and in some cases comprehensively (3, 4), pediatric studies have been more limited. For the most part, our current understanding of the genetic etiology of pediatric MAs is based on the examination of selected genes most commonly altered in corresponding adult tumors. The results of such studies indicate that the incidence of 2 of the most common gene alterations in adult MAs, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) amplification and \( \text{PTEN} \) inactivation, are significantly reduced in pediatric MAs (5, 6). In contrast, other genetic alterations that have been linked with the pathogenesis of adult MA, such as those resulting in \( \text{TP53} \) and \( \text{CDKN2A} \) inactivation, occur at significant frequencies in pediatric MAs as well (7–9).

The receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)-RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling pathway relays extracellular signals from cell membrane-based RTKs to the nucleus via a series of consecutive phosphorylation events (10, 11). RTK-RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling plays an important role in the pathogenesis of adult MAs (12), and increasing evidence
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Malignant astrocytoma (MA) is the most common histopathologic subclassification for primary central nervous system cancer, and the outcome for patients with MA is dismal. In the current study, we show a 10% incidence of BRAFV600E in pediatric MAs, and show that a BRAF small molecule inhibitor has substantial activity against intracranial xenografts established from BRAFV600E MA cells, while being ineffective against intracranial xenografts established from wild-type BRAF MA cells. This finding suggests that patients with BRAFV600E MA can be effectively treated with BRAF-specific small molecule inhibitors. Because there is a clinically approved analog for the BRAF inhibitor we have used in our studies, we anticipate these results to have immediate impact with regard to stimulating clinical trial evaluation of BRAF small molecule inhibitors for treating patients with BRAFV600E MA.

supports the importance of this pathway in the development of pediatric MAs as well (13–15). Activation of the RTK-RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling in adult MA is usually associated with abnormal signaling of upstream RTKs, such as EGFR and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) (3). Inactivation of the NF1 tumor suppressor gene, which encodes a RAS-GTPase, also leads to the activation of this pathway in adult MA (3, 16). Oncogenic mutation of other RTK-RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling components, such as K-RAS, N-RAS, or BRAF, which commonly occur in a wide variety of human cancers, is infrequent in adult MA (17).

Recent publications suggest that RAF gene alterations occur at a higher frequency in pediatric astrocytomas, including pilocytic astrocytomas, pleomorphic xanthoastrocytomas, and MAs (13, 18). There are 3 RAF family proteins: A-, B-, and CRAF (RAF-1). In rodent brain, ARAF has the lowest intrinsic kinase activity, followed by CRAF, with BRAF, which commonly occur in human cancers, is infrequent in adult MA (17).

BRAF sequencing

The BRAFV600E hotspot region was amplified using primers BRAF_Ex_15_FFPE_F (TTCATGAAGACCTCACAGTAAAA) and BRAF_Ex_15_FFPE_R (CCACAAAATGGATCCAGACA), which are designed to yield correct target sequence product by touchdown PCR. DNA was sequenced in both directions using the di-deoxy chain termination method on an ABI 3730 DNA Sequencer. Results were examined using the Applied Biosystems Sequence Scanner Software v1.0.

Western blot analysis

Cells and tissues were lysed in cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling) supplemented with proteinase (Roche) and phosphatase (Sigma) inhibitor cocktails. Lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. After probing with primary antibodies, the membranes were incubated with target BRAFV600E have recently been developed and show remarkable efficacy against melanomas that harbor this mutation (24). A recent phase I study using BRAFV600E-specific inhibitor PLX4032 showed a response rate of 81% in a group of 48 patients with BRAFV600E-positive metastatic melanoma (25).

In this study, we confirm the presence of BRAFV600E mutation in 2 additional cohorts of pediatric MA. To investigate the importance of BRAFV600E to MA growth, BRAF expression was suppressed in multiple MA cell lines by shRNA knockdown, with resultant determination that reduced levels of BRAF decreases ERK phosphorylation and results in decreased cell growth irrespective of tumor cell BRAFV600E status. In contrast, a BRAF pharmacologic inhibitor shows BRAFV600E dependency with regard to in vitro and in vivo MA antiproliferative effects.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines, xenografts, and primary tumors

MA cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, DSMZ—the German Resource Centre for Biological Material, and the Japan Health Sciences Foundation Health Science Research Resources bank. Normal human astrocytes (NHA) were obtained from Clonetics and AllCells. All cell sources were authenticated through DNA fingerprinting using the Promega Powerplex platform.

Patient tissues from Royal Marsden Hospital, Sutton, and Newcastle Royal Infirmary, United Kingdom, were obtained after approval by Local and Multicenter Ethical Review Committees. Tumor DNAs were extracted from formalin fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues and whole genome amplified, as described previously (15). For the St. Jude tumors, sections from FFPE tissue were reviewed by 2 neuropathologists for identification of specimens suitable for DNA extraction from corresponding snap-frozen specimens, as previously described (26).

BRAF sequencing

The BRAF V600E hotspot region was amplified using primers BRAF_Ex_15_FFPE_F (TTCATGAAGACCTCACAGTAAAA) and BRAF_Ex_15_FFPE_R (CCACAAAATGGATCCAGACA), which are designed to yield correct target sequence product by touchdown PCR. DNA was sequenced in both directions using the di-deoxy chain termination method on an ABI 3730 DNA Sequencer. Results were examined using the Applied Biosystems Sequence Scanner Software v1.0.

Western blot analysis

Cells and tissues were lysed in cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling) supplemented with proteinase (Roche) and phosphatase (Sigma) inhibitor cocktails. Lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. After probing with primary antibodies, the membranes were incubated with...
horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody, and visualized by ECL (Pierce). Antibodies specific for total ERK, p-ERK, total MEK1/2, p-MEK1/2, total AKT, p-AKT-Ser473, CYCLIN D1, CYCLIN D3, CDK4 and CDK6, and p27Kip1, were obtained from Cell Signaling Technologies. Antibody specific for BRAF was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CRAF from Upstate Biotechnology, and α-TUBULIN from Neomarkers.

**Lentivirus mediated BRAF shRNA expression**

Constructs for stable suppression of BRAF expression were obtained from The RNAi Consortium via Open Biosystems. A total of 12 constructs were obtained and tested to identify those able to achieve efficient BRAF protein knockdown. Negative control constructs in the same vector system (vector alone and scrambled shRNA) were obtained from Addgene. The lentiviral helper plasmids pHR8.2AR and pCMV-VSV-G were also obtained from Addgene. The integrity of all plasmids was confirmed by restriction analysis, and the integrity of all shRNA inserts was confirmed by sequencing. Lentivirus preparation and infection of GBM cell lines have been described previously (27).

**Cell growth assay**

Stably infected GBM cells were plated into 96-well plates at a density of 100 to 500 cells/well and the medium was changed every 3 days. After selected incubation times, cell viabilities were determined with “CellTiter Glo Kit” from Dojindo Laboratories. All cell growth analyses were conducted with 4 to 8 replicates.

**Cell-cycle analysis**

Cell-cycle distributions were determined by flow cytometric analysis following staining with propidium iodide. In brief, exponentially growing cells were harvested and fixed in 70% ethanol, then stained in PBS-buffered saline containing 0.1% Triton X-100, 50 μg/mL RNase, and 50 μg/mL propidium iodide, for 60 minutes at room temperature. The fluorescence was measured on a FACSort flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson), and data were analyzed using ModFit software (Verity Software House). Small molecule inhibitors used in association with cell-cycle analysis were PLX4720 (Plexxikon Inc.), Sorafenib, and GDC-0941, with the latter 2 obtained from Selleck Chemicals.

**Modification of tumor cells with firefly luciferase reporter**

Lentiviral vectors containing firefly luciferase (Fluc) were generated as previously described (28). AM-38 human MA cells were transduced with Fluc lentivirus as previously described for U87 cells (29). Cells were screened in vitro for transduction efficiency by treatment with luciferin (D-luciferin potassium salt, 150 mg/kg, Gold Biotechnology), and analysis for luminescence using a Xenogen IVIS Lumina System (Xenogen Corp.).

**In vivo experiments**

Five-week-old female athymic mice (nu/nu genotype, BALB/c background) were purchased from Simonsen Laboratories. Animals were housed under aseptic conditions. The UCSF Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal protocols. Tumor cells were implanted into the brains of athymic mice as previously described (30). In vivo bioluminescence imaging (BLI) was carried out using the Xenogen IVIS Lumina System coupled to LivingImage data-acquisition software (Xenogen Corp.). Mice were anesthetized with 100 mg/kg of ketamine and 10 mg/kg of xylazine and imaged 10 minutes after intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of luciferin. Signal intensity was quantified using LivingImage software.

To evaluate the therapeutic response of intracranial glioma xenografts, mice implanted with luciferase-modified MA cells were randomized to vehicle control [dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)] or PLX4720 treatment groups. Mice receiving PX4720 treatments were administered a daily dose of 20 mg/kg by i.p. injection for 14 consecutive days. Treatment was initiated at day 7 postimplantation of tumor cells. All mice were monitored every day for the development of symptoms related to tumor burden, and 1 to 2× weekly by BLI. Mice were euthanized when they exhibited symptoms indicative of significant compromise to neurologic function. The Kaplan–Meier estimator was used to generate survival curves, and differences between survival curves were calculated using a log-rank test. In addition to the mice used for the survival analysis, 2 presymptomatic mice within each cohort were sacrificed 2 hours after their 7th treatment, with their brains resected and either placed in formalin and prepared for immunohistochemical analysis, or dissected from surrounding normal brain and snap frozen for immunoblot analysis. IHC was carried out as previously described (30), using Ki-67 antibody from Ventana Inc.

**Results**

**BRAFV600E mutation in malignant astrocytomas**

In follow-up to our previous finding of BRAFV600E mutation in pediatric MAs (13), BRAFV600E status was determined in 2 additional tumor cohorts. As shown in Table 1, BRAFV600E mutation was found in 3 of 28 tumors in the ICR cohort, and 3 of 30 tumors in the cohort from St. Jude. Interestingly, all BRAFV600E mutations in these series were identified in MAs of grade IV malignancy (i.e., GBM). Our previously published study (13) combined with results in the current report indicate a BRAFV600E mutation frequency of 14% (11 of 78) in grade III + grade IV pediatric MAs. As in our previously report (13), BRAFV600E mutations and PDGFRα amplifications were found as mutually exclusive in the additional cohorts being reported here (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). For the combined 78 MA’s in 3 series of pediatric tumors, 9 instances of PDGFRα amplification were determined (12%; Supplementary Tables S1–3).
To confirm sustainable tumor cell sources for \(BRAF^V600E\) associated investigation, we examined 20 MA cell lines, 15 of which had been previously analyzed for \(BRAF^V600E\) (22), for mutant sequence (Supplementary Table S4). This analysis confirmed V600E mutation in cell lines DBTRG-05MG, AM-38, NMC-G1, and KG-1-C. Three of 4 cell line mutations are heterozygous for \(BRAF^V600E\) (Supplementary Fig. S1), with \(BRAF^V600E\) homozygosity evident in AM-38 cells. Previously published data (22) combined with our results indicate a 10% incidence (4 of 41) of \(BRAF^V600E\) in MA cell lines.

### BRAF copy number and expression in MA cell lines and pediatric tumors

We examined the extent of variation in BRAF protein expression among MA cell lines, and in relation to BRAF expression in NHA. Modest increases in BRAF protein were evident in 16 (80%) tumor cell lines relative to NHA (Fig. 1). Only 1 cell line, 42MGBA, expressed appreciably less BRAF than NHA. Analysis of normalized BRAF expression values indicates that \(BRAF^V600E\) status is not a significant determinant of tumor BRAF expression (Supplementary Fig. S2A), nor of BRAF copy number (Supplementary Table S4), for MA cell lines. Examination of BRAF mRNA expression in pediatric MAs (UCSF tumor series: see Supplementary Table S3) also indicates a lack of association between \(BRAF^V600E\) status and BRAF expression (Supplementary Fig. S2, panel B), as well as between \(BRAF^V600E\) and \(BRAF\) copy number (Supplementary Table S3).

We also assessed CRAF, p-ERK, and p-MEK levels in the MA cell lines, with results showing more variability in CRAF expression in NHA. Modest increases in BRAF protein were evident in 16 (80%) tumor cell lines relative to NHA (Fig. 1). Only 1 cell line, 42MGBA, expressed appreciably less BRAF than NHA. Analysis of normalized BRAF expression values indicates that \(BRAF^V600E\) status is not a significant determinant of tumor BRAF expression (Supplementary Fig. S2A), nor of BRAF copy number (Supplementary Table S4), for MA cell lines. Examination of BRAF mRNA expression in pediatric MAs (UCSF tumor series: see Supplementary Table S3) also indicates a lack of association between \(BRAF^V600E\) status and BRAF expression (Supplementary Fig. S2, panel B), as well as between \(BRAF^V600E\) and \(BRAF\) copy number (Supplementary Table S3).

#### Table 1. \(BRAF^V600E\) and PDGFR\(A\) status of pediatric MAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>St. Jude’s cohort (30 patients)</th>
<th>(BRAF^V600E)</th>
<th>Wild-type BRAF</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis-AA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis-GBM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at diagnosis (mo)</td>
<td>165.2 (128–198)</td>
<td>111 (9–213)</td>
<td>117 (9–213)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFR(A) amplification</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institute of Cancer Research cohort (28 patients)</th>
<th>(BRAF^V600E)</th>
<th>Wild-type BRAF</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis-AA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis-GBM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at diagnosis (mo)</td>
<td>146 (79–240)</td>
<td>137 (5–272)</td>
<td>138 (5–272)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFR(A) amplification</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Thirty patients from St. Jude Children Research Hospital and 28 patients from the Institute of Cancer Research were screened for the presence of \(BRAF^V600E\) and PDGFR\(A\) amplifications. Diagnosis, median age at diagnosis (range indicated in parentheses), and PDGFR\(A\) amplification status are also shown. For individual patient data, refer to Supplementary Tables S1 and S2. Abbreviations: AA, anaplastic astrocytoma; GBM, glioblastoma.

#### Figure 1. BRAF, CRAF, and downstream signaling mediator activation in MA cell lines. Cell lysates from 20 human MA cell lines were examined by Western blot using antibodies against the indicated proteins. Cell lines harboring mutant BRAF are indicated by the dotted line. BRAF protein signals were normalized against corresponding \(\alpha\)-TUBULIN signals, with ratios expressed in relation to a NHA (Clonetics) value of 100. A second NHA cell source (AllCells) was determined as expressing nearly identical BRAF as the Clonetics NHaAs that were used for establishing MA cell line BRAF expression levels.
expression than was evident for BRAF (Fig. 1). Phosphorylation of ERK was readily detectable in all of the MA cell lines except 2 (8MGBA and 42MGBA: Fig. 1). Inspection of the phospho-ERK levels indicates no apparent association with either BRAF expression level or phospho-ERK status. In contrast, a clear association is indicated between BRAFV600E status and phospho MEK levels (Fig. 1).

Inhibition of ERK phosphorylation and in vitro growth of MA cell lines by BRAF shRNA

Lentivirus expressing BRAF shRNA were screened for ability to suppress BRAF protein expression. Construct 6289 was the most effective in this regard (Fig. 2A), and was used to develop isogenic derivatives from 4 BRAFV600E cell lines. Western blot results show that lentivirus 6289 is the most effective at suppressing phosphorylation of ERK, B, in vitro growth analysis of modified DBTRG-05MG cells (left) shows decreased growth rate of cells transduced with 6289 lentivirus. Control and 6289 shRNA derivatives for each of 4 BRAF shRNA lentivirus. Results show that lentivirus 6289 is the most effective in suppressing phosphorylation of ERK. B, in vitro growth analysis of modified DBTRG-05MG cells (left) shows decreased growth rate of cells transduced with 6289 lentivirus. Control and 6289 shRNA derivatives for each of 4 BRAF shRNA lentivirus. Results show that lentivirus 6289 is the most effective in suppressing phosphorylation of ERK.

shRNA-mediated knockdown of BRAF results in G1 phase arrest and altered expression of cell-cycle regulatory proteins

The antiproliferative effects of BRAF suppression were further investigated by comparison of cell-cycle distributions for BRAF shRNA and control shRNA cells. In each of the 4 BRAFV600E cell lines, BRAF knockdown increased G1 phase fractions, primarily at the expense of cells in S phase (Fig. 3A). A lesser extent of G1 increase from BRAF shRNA knockdown was evident in T98G cells, whereas shRNA knockdown resulted in little or no change in G1 fraction for the 3 additional wild-type BRAF cell lines. Although initial inspection of the cell-cycle distributions could be interpreted as indicating that BRAF shRNA knockdown has little effect on the sub-G1 fraction of all MA cell lines, substantially higher sub-G1 increases were, in fact, evident in 2 of the 4 V600E cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S4).

The RTK-RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling pathway activates several key cell-cycle regulatory proteins to promote G1 to S transition (10). In particular, ERK activation has been shown to induce cell proliferation by increasing CYCLIN D1 and decreasing p27KIP1 levels in various cell types (31–34). Western blot results for the 4 BRAFV600E cell lines showed that BRAF knockdown increased p27KIP1 or decreased CYCLIN D1, or both (Fig. 3B). For the MA cell lines with wild-type BRAF, shRNA suppression resulted in decreased CYCLIN D1 and increased p27KIP1 in 42MGBA and LN229, respectively. Investigation of additional cell-cycle regulatory proteins revealed that BRAF knockdown significantly decreased CYCLIN D3 protein in all MA cell lines except DBTRG-05MG (Fig. 3B). Protein levels of CDK4 or CDK6, or both, were also reduced by BRAF shRNA in all of the cell lines.

PLX4720 suppresses MEK-ERK phosphorylation and cell proliferation in MA cells containing BRAFV600E mutation

As a complementary approach to investigating the suppression of BRAF expression in MA cells, we examined antiproliferative effects of the small molecule inhibitor PLX4720, a tool compound that is closely related to the PLX4032 inhibitor currently being evaluated in clinical trials against melanoma harboring BRAFV600E (25). Dose–response curves for AM-38 and DBTRG05-MG (both BRAFV600E), and 42MGBA (wild-type BRAF) show that PLX4720 inhibits cell growth irrespective of cell line V600E status (Fig. 4A), but that the 2 lines with BRAFV600E are sensitive to much lower concentrations of the inhibitor: the EC50 for DBTRG-05MG and AM-38 is 1.75 and 6.19 μmol/L, respectively, whereas the EC50 of 42MGBA is 31.20 μmol/L. Corresponding Western blot results (Fig. 4B) show that PLX4720 inhibits phosphorylation of MEK and ERK in a dose dependent as well as a BRAFV600E–dependent manner. In DBTRG-05MG and AM-38 cells, 2 μmol/L PLX4720 decreased EGF-induced MEK and ERK phosphorylation, whereas 50 μmol/L PLX4720 was needed to inhibit EGF-induced MEK/ERK phosphorylation in 42MGBA cells. Importantly, the lowest dose of PLX4720 (2 μmol/L) led to an activation of MEK/ERK signaling in 42MGBA, likely secondary to paradoxical activation of wild-type BRAF, as previously described (35–37).

Analysis of cell-cycle distribution effects of 2 μmol/L PLX4720 treatment revealed increased G1 fraction and reduced S phase component in BRAFV600E cells (Fig. 4C), as well as a substantially reduced sub-G1 fraction in all MA cell lines (Fig. 4D) compared with control shRNA cells. Importantly, the effects of PLX4720 were also observed in T98G cells harboring wild-type BRAF, consistent with the observation that wild-type BRAF cells are sensitive to PLX4720 (25).
with the opposite response observed in wild-type BRAF cells: that is, decreased G1 and increased S phase cell components for 42MGBA. At 10 μmol/L PLX4720 treatment, the G1 and S phase responses of 42MGBA were reversed relative to 2 μmol/L responses, and despite indication of residual p-MEK/p-ERK (Fig. 4B). This reversal in effect is potentially associated with inhibitor off-target effects, as suggested by decreases in 42MGBA p-AKT at 10 and 50 μmol/L PLX4720 treatments (Fig. 4B).

Because 42MGBA has reduced expression of BRAF compared with NHA one might predict these cells to be less representative of wild-type BRAF MA cell response to BRAF inhibition. To address whether the lack of effect of PLX4720 on wild-type BRAF glioma cells was unique to 42MGBA, a second wild-type BRAF cell line, U87, was also tested for PLX4720, with similar results obtained as for 42MGBA: that is, low dose PLX4720 treatment results in paradoxical activation of p-MEK and p-ERK, with corresponding decreases and increases in U87 G1 and S phase components, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S5). Importantly, PLX4720 signaling pathway response for both wild-type BRAF (U87) and BRAFV600E (AM-38) cells were reproducible in serum-supplemented media (Supplementary Fig. S6).

Interestingly, 2 and 10 μmol/L PLX4720 resulted in little change to the sub-G1 component of treated BRAFV600E cells (Fig. 4C). To address whether this lack of response was due to alternative signaling pathway protection from apoptosis, we examined the effect of concurrent BRAF + PI3K/mTOR inhibition. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S7, combined PI3K/mTOR and BRAF blockade leads to a further increase in G1 arrest, but no significant increase in sub-G1 component. This result suggests that MA cells primarily depend on the PI3K/mTOR and BRAF pathways for proliferation.

To address whether observed cell line responses to RAF inhibition were specific to treatment with PLX4720, we additionally tested wild-type BRAF and BRAFV600E cells with sorafenib, a pan-RAF inhibitor. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S8, whereas sorafenib induces a dose-dependent decrease in p-MEK and p-ERK in both AM-38 and U87 cells, these signaling pathway changes occur without
substantial change to the cell-cycle distributions of either type of cell. Thus, the antiproliferative effect of BRAF inhibition is specific to the use of the BRAFV600E selective-inhibitor PLX4720 against BRAFV600E cell lines.

PLX4720 represses growth of intracranial BRAFV600E MA xenografts

To investigate the effects of BRAF pharmacologic inhibition in vivo, we utilized an orthotopic xenograft model approach, in which tumor cells, modified with a luciferase reporter, are monitored for response to treatment, following intracranial injection in athymic mice. Mice with intracranial AM-38 showed reduced intracranial tumor growth from PLX4720 treatment, relative to corresponding vehicle-treated mice, resulting in significantly prolonged survival (Fig. 5A). The survival of mice with intracranial DBTRG-05MG was also significantly extended from treatment with PLX4720 (data not shown). AM-38 tumors in mouse brain, following 1 week of PLX4720 treatment, showed decreased Ki-67 staining, relative to tumor from a vehicle-treated mouse (Supplementary Fig. S9A and B), corroborating the in vivo antiproliferative treatment effect indicated by BLI. Western blot analysis of protein extract from AM-38 intracranial xenografts revealed that PLX4720 therapy decreases p-ERK (Supplementary Fig. S9C), consistent with in vitro results (Fig. 4).

To determine whether PLX4720 shows activity against wild-type BRAF xenografts, we established intracranial tumors with U87 cells that had been previously modified with luciferase lentivirus and used for investigation of response to cdk4/6 inhibition (29). PLX4720-treated mice bearing wild-type BRAF U87 xenografts showed no delayed tumor growth or survival advantage, consistent with in vitro results of U87 which indicated low sensitivity to PLX4720 (U87 EC50 of 48.8 μmol/L, data not shown). In fact, results from bioluminescence monitoring...
indicated increased mean U87 xenograft growth rate and reduced survival from PLX4720 treatment (Fig. 5B). Results from Ki-67 staining of PLX4720-treated U87 tumors showed consistency with BLI results: Ki-67–positive cells were more abundant in PLX4720-treated tumor (Supplementary Fig. S9D and E).

Discussion

Patients with MAs have a poor prognosis. Despite aggressive therapy, relapse rates are high, with median survival being 14 months in adults (1), and the majority of pediatric MA patients succumbing to cancer within 3 years of diagnosis (2). There is a relative dearth of information regarding recurrent molecular characteristics in pediatric MAs that can be used for therapeutic hypothesis testing. PDGFRA amplification, though noted in a fraction of these tumors (13, 14, 38), has yet to be successfully exploited for improved MA patient outcomes from the use of PDGF receptor inhibitors (39). This is also the case for EGFR amplification in adult MAs, for which the use of EGFR small molecule inhibitors has yet to confer a clear survival benefit (40, 41). The lack of success in targeting EGF and PDGF receptors in treating brain tumor patients is perhaps a result of RTK functional redundancy that compensates for the blockade of just 1 RTK (42). In principle, pathway blockade downstream of RTKs may be more successful, because numerous RTKs converge on a few key signaling nodes in promoting cell proliferation. In this study, we found that BRAF may represent such a point of signal convergence, because the growth of all tested MA cell lines was inhibited by suppression of BRAF expression (Fig. 2).

Although gain of function mutation of KRAS, NRAS, and BRAF are among the most frequently occurring oncogene alterations in cancer, such mutations are uncommon in adult MAs (17, 18). In spite of the low mutation incidence, RTK-RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling is upregulated in most of these tumors, and high ERK activation correlates with poor prognosis for MA patients (43). In this study, we confirm the finding of BRAF activating mutations (BRAFV600E) in a subset of pediatric MAs. BRAFV600E was identified in 6 of 58 (10%) pediatric MAs, from 2 distinct series of tumors, and in 4 MA cell lines. In vitro, BRAFV600E mutation was associated with elevated p-MEK levels. Because BRAF is located on chromosome 7 (q35), and gain of chromosome 7 is frequently detected in MAs, BRAF copy number is commonly increased in these tumors (44). We also found copy number gains of BRAF in most MA cell lines and primary tumors, although no focal or high-level BRAF amplifications were evident (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4).

Figure 5. Effect of PLX4720 on tumor growth and survival for mice with intracranial MA xenografts. A, mice received intracranial injection of 300,000 luciferase modified BRAFV600E AM-38 cells, with i.p. administration of vehicle (DMSO) or PLX4720 (20 mg/kg) daily for 2 weeks, beginning at day 7. Survival plot (left) shows that PLX4720 treatment significantly extends the survival of mice with intracranial BRAFV600E tumors, consistent with results from quantitative BLI which show significant antiproliferative effect of PLX4720 at the first imaging time point subsequent to initiation of treatment (P = 0.018 for control vs. PLX4720 BLI values, middle). Bioluminescence images (right) of intracranial tumor in control and PLX4720 treatment group mice show lesser AM-38 bioluminescence signal in the mouse administered PLX4720 following the 5th day of PLX4720 treatment (mice shown had median treatment group luminescence values at time of initiation of therapy). B, in contrast, no PLX4720 survival advantage or antiproliferative effect is evident for mice with wild-type BRAF intracranial xenografts (U87).
In addition to BRAF copy number increases and mutation, we found elevated expression of BRAF protein, relative to NHA, in a majority of MA cell lines (Fig. 1).

Our results show that inhibition of BRAF expression by shRNA knockdown leads to decreased p-ERK levels and reduced proliferation of MA cell lines, independent of BRAFV600E status (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. S3). However, inspection of flow cytometry results from BRAF shRNA-modified cells (Fig. 3A), for cell-cycle effects of BRAF shRNA, reveals a more rapid antiproliferative effect of BRAF knockdown on BRAFV600E cells, suggesting increased cellular proliferation dependence on, and addiction to BRAF signaling for BRAFV600E cells. This dependence is further indicated by results from BRAF pharmacologic inhibition with PLX4720, which show specificity of antiproliferative effect for tumor cell lines and xenografts with V600E (Figs. 4 and 5). PLX4720 BRAF blockade resulted in MAPK pathway inhibition, decreased growth rate, and increased G1 arrest in cell lines with BRAFV600E**, whereas BRAF wild-type cells, when treated with low doses of PLX4720, showed increased MAPK activation and a decrease in G1 phase cells. Observation of a stimulatory effect of BRAF inhibition on MAPK activation in cells with wild-type BRAF has been reported by others (35–37). It is important to note that BRAFV600E inhibition did not promote substantial apoptotic response of BRAF-mutant cells, and that combined BRAF + PI3K/mTOR blockade led only to further G1 arrest, without increased sub-G1 component (Supplementary Fig. S7). These data suggest that MA cells primarily depend on the PI3K/mTOR and BRAF pathways for proliferation, and not so much for survival.

To extend in vitro observations to the in vivo setting, we generated intracranial xenografts using MA cell lines with and without BRAFV600E. Our results (Fig. 5) show that BRAF inhibition by PLX4720 significantly decreases tumor growth rate (as indicated by quantitative BLI), with corresponding increase in survival for mice carrying BRAF-mutant xenografts, and to an extent showing reasonable consistency with length of treatment. Experiments are ongoing to determine maximal extent of PLX4720 survival benefit, given sustained daily treatment, and using multiple MA models. Molecular analysis of PLX4720-treated BRAFV600E tumors shows decreased p-ERK in vivo (Supplementary Fig. S9C) and decreased Ki-67 staining (Supplementary Fig. S9A and B). These results are consistent with the decreased tumor cell proliferation indicated by BLI monitoring. In contrast, U87 xenografts, harboring wild-type BRAF, did not respond to PLX4720, and, in fact, showed a trend toward increased tumor growth rate and decreased survival (Fig. 5B, Supplementary Fig. S9D and E).

BRAFV600E is now a validated therapeutic target in metastatic melanoma, with high response rates reported using both the Plexxikon BRAFV600E-specific inhibitor PLX4032 (25) and the Glaxo Smith Kline inhibitor GSK2118436 (45). Phase III studies suggest a survival advantage for patients treated with PLX4032, when compared with standard chemotherapy (46). Taken together with the results reported here, there is a highly supportive rationale for using BRAF-specific pharmacologic inhibition in treating MAs with BRAFV600E.
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